

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,851	01/12/2007	Pedro Xammar Bove	68970-229790	4888
26694 VENABLE LI	7590 08/17/200 P	9	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 34385			WILBUR, NICHOLAS A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/577,851	XAMMAR BOVE, PEDRO	
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NICHOLAS A. WILBUR	3672	
All Participants:	Status of Application: Aba	andoned .	
(1) <u>NICHOLAS A. WILBUR</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>SECRETARY OF DR. MICHAEL SARTORI</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>11 August 2009</u>	Time: <u>10:00 AM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
(A)	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A courtest call was made to the Applicant to confirm that no reply had been filed in response the the Office Action that was mailed on January, 30, 2009, since more than six months have passed since that mail date. It was confirmed that no response has been filed.